

# DECISION

Protest / Request Number: 6 Protest by TC

Heard Jointly With Number(s): 7 Request for redress by HJUN 1622

Event: J24 European Championship, Race Number: 8

Hearing Date: 2017/09/29

## PARTIES AND WITNESSES

Party Protesting: Technical Committee, Michael Peters  
Parties Being Protested: HUN 1622 - Miklos Rauschenberger  
Boat requesting redress:  
Witnesses: Gabor Czernussi, measurer

## VALIDITY

Objection to Jury: No  
Within Time Limit: Within Time Limit  
Incident Identified: Yes  
Proper Hail: Hail not required      TC informed HUN while racing in R8 and also after racing within the protest time limit.  
Red Flag Displayed: Not required  
DECISION: Protest Valid

## FACTS FOUND

1. 150m before Mark 1 of the 1st beat, measurer saw, at the last part of the tack from PT to ST, that 2 members of the crew positioned part of their torsos over the lifeline, while rolling the boat back to windward, with their hands on the lifeline, standing on the deck.
2. TC boat approached HUN 1622 just after the incident and hailed to them, that HUN is going to be protested by TC.
3. Within the time limit M. Rauschenberger approached TC ashore, where they discussed the matter of positioning the torsos above the lifeline, and that the TC is going to protest HUN.

**Diagram:** Diagram not endorsed

## CONCLUSIONS AND RULES THAT APPLY

HUN broke RR 49.2. , The hail of TC did not worsen the result of HUN 1622

## DECISION

Protest Upheld,.

**HUN 1622 is to be scored DSQ in R8**

**Date and Time: 2016/09/29 19:58 CEST**

## PROTEST COMMITTEE

**Chaired by:** Pedro Rodrigues IJ POR

**Committee Members:** Dušan Vanický (SVK), Judit Bodnár NJ HUN, Gábor Oroszlán NJ HUN

# DECISION

Protest / Request Number: 7

Heard Jointly With Number(s):6

Event: J24 European Championship, Race Number: 8

Hearing Date: 2017/09/29

## PARTIES AND WITNESSES

Party Protesting:HUN 1622 - Miklos Rauschenberger

Parties Being Protested:Technical Committee, Michael Peters

## VALIDITY

Objection to Jury:No

Within Time Limit:Within Time Limit

Incident Identified:Yes

Proper Hail:Protest hailed

Red Flag Displayed:Yes

DECISION:Protest Valid

## FACTS FOUND

**Diagram:** Diagram not endorsed

## CONCLUSIONS AND RULES THAT APPLY

## DECISION

- See DECision of PROTEST No 6, Redress no given

**Date and Time: 2016/09/29 19:58 CEST**

## PROTEST COMMITTEE

**Chaired by:** Pedro Rodrigues IJ POR

**Committee Members:** Dušan Vanický (SVK), Judit Bodnár NJ HUN, Gábor Oroszlán NJ HUN

# DECISION

## Protest / Request Number: 8

Request for Redress

Event: J24 European Championship

Race Number: 7

Hearing Date: 2017/09/29

### PARTIES AND WITNESSES

Party Protesting: HUN 1622 - Balmaz Litkey

Parties Being Protested: Race Committee

### VALIDITY

Objection to Jury: No

Within Time Limit: Within Time Limit

Incident Identified: Yes

Proper Hail: Hail not required

Red Flag Displayed: Not required

DECISION: Protest Valid

### FACTS FOUND

1. RC observed HUN OCS in last 10 seconds before the start of race No 7 with the black flag starting procedure.
2. RC scored HUN BFD in R7

**Diagram:** Diagram not endorsed

### CONCLUSIONS AND RULES THAT APPLY

Hun 1622 was properly scored BFD.

### DECISION

- Redress Denied

**Date and Time:** 2017/09/29 20:14 CET

### PROTEST COMMITTEE

**Chaired by:** Pedro Rodrigues IJ POR

**Committee Members:** Dušan Vanický (SVK), Judit Bodnár NJ HUN, Gábor Oroszlán NJ HUN

# DECISION

## Protest / Request Number: 17

Request to Reopen

Event: J24 European Championship, Race Number: 8

Hearing Date: 2017/09/30

### PARTIES AND WITNESSES

Party Protesting: HUN 1622 - Miklos Rauschenberger  
Parties Being Protested:

### VALIDITY

Objection to Jury: No  
Within Time Limit: Within Time Limit  
Incident Identified: Yes  
Proper Hail: Hail not required  
Red Flag Displayed: Not required

DECISION:

### FACTS FOUND

1. There is written in Notice 2 of SI, that there is a possibility to get 10% instead of DSQ. for situation in protest NO6.
2. The story of witness of TC was different that of the protestor.
3. The crew could check the spinnaker sheets when leaning out of the boat.
4. TC was unacceptably interfering with HUN while she was racing.
5. Notice 2 of SI allows jury to apply lower penalty for RRS 49.2 without the hearing on the water.
6. NO other lower penalty for braking rule 49.2 applies as the result of a hearing. (RRS 64.1)

**Diagram:** Diagram not endorsed

### CONCLUSIONS AND RULES THAT APPLY

JURY did not make any significant error, no significant new evidence is available. RRS 66

### DECISION

Jury is not going to reopen the hearing

**Date and Time:** 2017/09/30 17:45 CET

### PROTEST COMMITTEE

**Chaired by:** Pedro Rodrigues IJ POR

**Committee Members:** Dušan Vanický (SVK), Judit Bodnár NJ HUN, Gábor Oroszlán NJ HUN